

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,865	11/26/2002	John Yupeng Gui	121985-1/YOD (GERD:0776)	3389
647 O723000 EXAMINER GENERAL FEOTO GOARDON GENERAL GENERAL GOARDON GENERAL GENERAL GLASS, RUSSELLS GLOBAL RESEARCH GLASS, RUSSELLS			IINER	
			GLASS, RUSSELL S	
ONE RESEARCH CIRCLE BLDG, K1-3A59			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYUNA	, NY 12309		3687	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com rosssr@ge.com robertsr@ge.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/065,865	GUI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
R. SHAY GLASS	3687		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension if have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (; set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely f may reduce any semed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee 2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date	e of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	nce a					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal; and/or	r					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. 🔀 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>35 USC 112</u> .						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s) 						
7. ∑ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ∑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ıf					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-68</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all ejections under appeal and/or appellant to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	а					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. \(\subseteq The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because see below.	:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
/Vanel Frene// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687						

Applicant argues limitations that are not in the claims. Although the claims are read in light of the specification, limitations from the specification cannot be read into the claims. In this case the Intellegent sensing device as claimed is a broad term which can encompass the referenced devices. The limitations argued by Applicant that differentiate and further define the intelligent sensing device are in the specification. Applicant is encouraged to add these features to the independent claims so the features argued can be given patentable weight.